

Faithfulness of a class of modules with respect to the functor Hom (or Tensor) and related topics

M. R. Vedadi¹

Abstract

Let R be a ring, $\alpha : \text{Mod-}R \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathbb{Z}$ be a functor and \mathcal{C} be a non-empty class of R -modules. The class \mathcal{C} is called α -faithful if $\alpha(X) \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq X$.

In this note, we state some recent results related to α -faithfulness for an arbitrary (certain) class of R -modules where $\alpha = \text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ or $M \otimes_R -$. We then consider some relevant open questions.

1 Modules with Hom-faithful lattice

- If M_R is module such that $L(M_R) =$ the set of all submodules of M_R , is $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ -faithful then M was called *retractable* by **Khuri (1979)**. She use this concept to yielding a lattice isomorphism between certain submodules of M and right ideals of $\text{End}_R(M)$. See also [**Haghany-Vedadi (2005)**, endoprime modules.] and [**Haghany-Vedadi (2007)**, study of semi-projective retractable modules.]

Note: $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}, X) = 0$ for all $X < \mathbb{Q}$.

The retractability is a necessary condition for defining prime and semiprime modules by Bican.

- **Bican (1980)**: Define the $*$ -operation on $L(M_R)$ by $N * K = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)K$. Then M_R is called prime (semiprime) if $N * K = 0$ (resp. $N * N = 0$) implies $N = 0$ or $K = 0$ (resp. $N = 0$).

- **Lomp (2005)**: Open problem “*when semiprime modules are subdirect products of primes?*”.

- **Dehghani-Vedadi (2015)**: Over many rings including commutative rings, semiprime modules are subdirect products of primes and the converse is true

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, 84156-83111, IRAN, mrvedadi@cc.iut.ac.ir

precisely if semiprime modules are enveloping for $\text{Mod-}R$.

Where do retractable modules appear?

- **Zelmanowitz (1981)**: Over a semiprime(reduced) ring, all torsionless modules are retractable.

- **Smith (2005)**: Over a commutative Noetherian, all projective module are retractable.

- **Haghany-Karamzadeh-Vedadi (2009)**: Over a commutative ring, all finitely generated modules are retractable.

- **Tolooei-Vedadi (2013)**: All are module are retractable iff all torsion theories on R are hereditary. If further, $R/J(R)$ is reduced and $J(R) \subset \text{Cent}(R)$, then all R -modules are retractable iff R is a semi-Artinian ring (i.e., every factor ring of R has a minimal (right) ideal).

This is a generalization of a result due to **Ohtake (1981)**.

- A result similar to the above result are obtained by **Abyzov (2012)** for duo rings.

2 Hom-reversible and Hom(Tensor)-faithful modules

For any module M_R the smallest full subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ generated by M which is closed under direct sums, factor modules and submodules is denoted by $\sigma[M_R]$ [14]. A module M_R is called:

Hom-faithful (resp. *tensor-faithful*), if $\sigma[M_R]$ is faithful w.r.t. the functor $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ (resp. $M \otimes_R -$).

Hom-reversible: $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Y, X) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \sigma[M_R]$.

- Hom-reversible \Rightarrow Hom-faithful.

-**Tolooei-Vedadi (2014)**: R_R is Hom-reversible iff R is a right perfect ring.

-**Haghany-Tolooei-Vedadi (2015)**: Let R be a ring Morita equivalent to commutative ring. Then (a) R is semi-Artinian if and only if all nonzero R -modules are Hom-faithful.

(b) The following are equivalent.

(1) R is a max ring.

(2) All nonzero R -modules are Tensor-faithful.

(3) $\forall 0 \neq N \leq M_R, \text{ann}_R(N)M \neq M$.

(4) $\forall 0 \neq M_R, \text{Hom}_R(M/J(M), M) \neq 0$.

(5) $\forall 0 \neq M_R, \exists N \leq M_R$ such that R/I has minimal ideal where $I =$

$\text{ann}_R(M/N)$.

(6) $\forall 0 \neq M_R, L(M_R)$ is faithful w.r.t $M \otimes_R -$.

3 Weak generator and T-nilpotence

Definition: An R -module M_R is said to be *weak-generator* (*w.g. for short*) for a class \mathcal{C} if the class \mathcal{C} is faithful w.r.t $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$. A w.g. for $\text{Mod-}R$ is simply called *weak generator*.

Smith (2005). All R -modules are w.g. iff R is a semi-Artinian max-ring with unique simple R -module up to isomorphisms.

For any class \mathcal{C} , let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}) =$ the collection of proper right ideals E of R such that the R -module $R/E \in \mathcal{C}$. **Smith-Vedadi (2006):**

- M_R is a weak generator for a class $\mathcal{C} \Rightarrow$ the R -module R/A is a weak generator for \mathcal{C} , where $A = \text{ann}_R(M)$.

- For any ideal I of R and any class \mathcal{C} of R -modules.

The R -module R/I is a w.g. for $\mathcal{C} \iff$ For each $E \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$ and each sequence a_1, a_2, \dots in I there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in E$.

Definition: A non-empty $Y \subseteq R$ is called *left T-nilpotent* provided for each sequence y_1, y_2, \dots in Y there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $y_1 y_2 \dots y_n = 0$.

- Let R be a ring Morita equivalent to commutative ring.

(i) A finitely generated R -module M is w.g $\iff \text{ann}_R(M)$ is T-nilpotent.

(ii) If R is semiprime Noetherian, then:

an arbitrary nonzero R -module M is w.g $\iff \sum_{f: M \rightarrow R} f(M)$ is an essential ideal in R .

(iii) *Nice Corollary:* An ideal I is T-nilpotent iff the ideal $I[x]$ is T-nilpotent iff the ideal $\text{Mat}_n(I)$ is T-nilpotent ($n \geq 1$).

4 some questions

Q₁: What are module M_R such that $\text{Mod-}R$ is faithful w.r.t the functor $M \otimes_R -$?

Note₁: If R is commutative, we know that w.g modules have such property, due to the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R X, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(X, Y))$.

P₁: Let \mathcal{C} be α -faithful. Find a minimum subclass \mathcal{C}' of \mathcal{C} such that the faithfulness of \mathcal{C} is equal to the faithfulness of \mathcal{C}' .

Smith-Vedadi (2006): Let R be a (right) strongly prime ring (i.e. R embeds in $I^{(m)}$ where $I \leq R$), then M_R is a w.g for injective R -modules if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(M, E(R_R)) \neq 0$.

Note2: If $N \leq M$ and \mathcal{C} is faithful w.r.t $\text{Hom}(M/N, -)$ (resp. $(M/N) \otimes_R -$) then \mathcal{C} is faithful w.r.t $\text{Hom}(M, -)$ (resp. $M \otimes_R -$).

We say that a class \mathcal{C} is *critical faithful* w.r.t $\text{Hom}(M, -)$ (resp. $M \otimes_R -$) if \mathcal{C} is faithful w.r.t the functor but it is not faithful when M is replaced with a proper factor M/N .

Q₂: For a given class \mathcal{C} , characterize modules M such that \mathcal{C} is critical faithful w.r.t $\text{Hom}(M, -)$ (resp. $M \otimes_R -$).

References

- [1] A. N. Abyzov, *On some classes of semi-Artinian rings*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., **53**(5)(2012), 955-966.
- [2] N. Dehghani and M. R. Vedadi, *A characterization of modules embedding in products of primes and enveloping condition for their class*, J. Algebra Appl. **14**(4) (2015), 14 pp.
- [3] A. Haghany, O. A. S. Karamzadeh, and M. R. Vedadi, *Rings with all finitely generated modules retractable*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **35**(2) (2009), 37-45.
- [4] A. Haghany, Y. Tolooei, and M. R. Vedadi, *Characterizations of commutative max rings and some applications*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **46**(3) (2015), no. 3, 371-381.
- [5] A. Haghany and M. R. Vedadi, *endoprime modules*, Acta Math. Hungar., **106**(1-2)(2005), 89-99.
- [6] A. Haghany and M. R. Vedadi, *Study of semi-projective retractable modules*, Algebra Colloq. **14**(3) (2007), 489-496.
- [7] S. M. Khuri, *endomorphism rings and lattice isomorphisms*, J. Algebra, **56**(2)(1979), 401-408.
- [8] C. Lomp, *prime elements in partially ordered groupoids applied to modules and Hopf algebra actions*, J. Algebra Appl., **4**(1)(2005), 77-97.

- [9] K. Ohtake, *Commutative rings over which all torsion theories are hereditary*, Comm. Algebra **9**(15) (1981), 1533-1540.
- [10] P. F. Smith *modules with many homomorphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **197**(1-3)(2005), 305321.
- [11] P. F. Smith, and M. R. Vedadi *weak generators for classes of R-modules*, East-West J. Math., **8**(2)(2006) 101118.
- [12] Y. Toloeei and M. R. Vedadi *on rings whose modules have nonzero homomorphisms to nonzero submodules*, Publ. Mat., **57**(1)(2013), 107122.
- [13] Y. Toloeei and M. R. Vedadi, *Reversibility of a module with respect to the bifunctors Hom and Rej*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **40**(4) (2014), 931940.
- [14] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach, Reading (1991).
- [15] J. M. Zelmanowitz, *Weakly primitive rings*, Comm. Algebra, **9**(1)(1981), 2345.